

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/937,705	10/01/2001	Nobuyuki Tomihashi	Q65919	5125	
75	90 . 07/02/2003 .	•			
Sughrue Mion Zinn			EXAMINER		
Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			YOON, TAE H		
Washington, DO	2. 20037-3202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09/939 205	Applicant(s)	Tonihash:	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	<u> </u>
	1 4	son	1714	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			orrespondence ado	lness
P riod for R ply				. •••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO	EXPIRE THREE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			-, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default,</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	bly within the statutory mexpire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application	ninimum of thirty ( from the mailing on to become ABA	(30) days will be conside date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 1	red timely. ion. 33)
Status	. 0 . 4	11		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	, pre. om	dt.		<del></del> •
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			*	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, <b>pr</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	osecution as 3.	to the merits is clo	sed in
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1-4, 22 and 24-38		is/are	pending in the applic	ation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from cons	ideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are		
1 Claim(s) 1-4, 22 and 24-38		is/are	rejected.	
□ Claim(s)	the state of the s	is/are		•
☐ Claim(s)			bject to restriction or	election
Application Papers		require		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	·		ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objects	d to by the Examine	r 🛒		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		• •	,	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		*,		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un All - Some* - None of the:	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (	a)-(d).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	noived		• ,	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec		No		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents		NO		•
in this national stage application from the International E		.2(a))	·	
*Certified copies not received:				_
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	)	Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		•	mal Pat nt Application	on, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Revi w, PTO-948	•	Oth r	,	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Act	on Summary		•	

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims are indefinite since they are dependent on claim 3 and claim 31 simultaneously.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 22 and 24-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 006 493, EP 0 007 514, US 5,879,746 or JP 55-16058 in view of US 6,107,390, US 3,941,603 or JP 8-259743.

Four primary refereenes are similar, and the examiner points out mostly EP 0 006 493.

EP'493 teaches melt-processable fluorine-containing resin composition having at least one thermal stabilizer in abstract and examples. The instant copolymers of claim 28 and stabilizers of claim 29 are taught at page 6, lines 13-22. Detailed stabilizers are taught at pages 8-11. The tables 1-3 show the use of a mixture of stabilizers, and thus utilization of any combination of

Art Unit: 1714

stabilizers would be obvious to one skilled in that art (EP'514 teaches stabilizer mixtures at page 25, and US'746 teaches the same in examples). EP'493 also teaches employing pigments such as titanium dioxide at page 12, line 16-17 (Page 13, lin 27 of EP'514 and col. 4, lines 39-46 of US'746).

The instant invention further recites a surface-treated rutile titanium oxide over the primary references. However, such surface-treated rutile titanium oxide which possesses a high level of hiding power, gloss and dispersibility is well known in the art as taught by US'390 (col. 3, lines 6-11), US'603 (abstract and examples), and JP'743 ([0007] - [0009]).

US'746 teaches various coatings against corrosion and stickness at col. 6, lines 31-37, and thus a coating on any substrate of articles contacting corrosive chemicals inherently would be obvious.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize surface-treated rutile titanium oxide of US' 390, US'603 or JP'743 in each of the primary references since said the primary references teach employing pigments such as titanium dioxide and since the advantages of said surface-treated rutile titanium oxide is well known as taught by US'390, US'603 and JP'743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/June 26, 2003

TAE H. YOON